## United States District Court

for the Northern District of Oklahoma

Case No. 23-CR-50-JDR	
United States of America,	
	Plaintiff,
versus	
Lucas Anthony Walker,	
	Defendant.
OPINION AND ORDER	_

Before the Court is Defendant Lucas Anthony Walker's motion to continue jury trial and extend deadlines for six (6) months. Dkt. 41. The government does not object. The Court GRANTS the motion.

Section 3161(h)(7)(A) of the Speedy Trial Act governs the Court's decision. This section allows a federal district court to exclude any period of delay resulting from a continuance if "the judge granted such continuance on the basis of his findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). A court must orally or in writing set forth its reasons for granting an ends of justice continuance and make findings that a continuance is in the best interest of the defendant and the public. *Id.* The statute permits a court to consider whether "the failure to grant such a continuance ... would deny counsel for the defendant ... the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." *Id.* at § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Tenth Circuit has been clear that an ends of justice continuance should not be granted "cavalierly" and it was intended to be a

## Case No. 23-CR-50

"rarely used tool." *United States v. Williams*, 511 F.3d 1044, 1048-49 (10th Cir. 2007); *see also*, *United States v. Toombs*, 574 F.3d 1262 (10th Cir. 2009) (limiting the circumstances in which an ends of justice continuance can be granted and emphasizing that this should be a rarely used procedural tool). The parties must provide the district court a sufficient record to determine why the facts stated in a motion for continuance "result[] in the need for additional time." *Id.* at 1271. The parties must provide specific information about the need for a continuance, and the district court may need to hold a hearing before granting an ends of justice continuance. *Id.* at 1272-73. A district court must also give significant weight to the public's interest in a speedy trial, and the public's interest is generally served by strict adherence to the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. *Id.* at 1273.

Mr. Walker requests additional time to complete review of discovery with his counsel. Dkt. 41 at  $\P$  2. The government produced discovery on April 24, 2024, but defense counsel has had issues accessing the data and will need time to receive, analyze, and investigate the new material. *Id.* at  $\P$  3. Defense Counsel also needs time to determine if they will need to obtain expert analysis based on the new discovery material. *Id.* at  $\P$  5. The discovery process is ongoing. *Id.* at  $\P$  6.

Mr. Walker has executed a speedy trial waiver asking the Court to exclude any period of delay for an ends of justice continuance. Dkt. 42. In addition to considering Mr. Walker's interests, the Court has considered the public's interest in the speedy resolution of criminal cases and finds that a limited ends of justice continuance will not subvert the public's interest in the prompt prosecution of criminal matters.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Mr. Walker's motion to extend the dates on the scheduling order, filed at Dkt. 41, is GRANTED. The jury trial set for June 17, 2024, at 9:30 a.m. is stricken. The following amended scheduling order will govern the case:

## Case No. 23-CR-50

Notices filed:

6/3/2024

This includes all notices required by the Rules of Criminal Procedure and Evidence, including but not limited to, notices pursuant to Rule 16, 404(b), 412, 413, 414, or 609.

Motions to dismiss for insufficient indictment 6/3/2024 filed:

Motions and objections to notices filed:

6/10/2024

Absent good cause, motions in limine shall be filed by this date.

Motions for bill of particulars shall be filed pursuant to FRCrP 7(f)

Pretrial conference:

10/21/2024 at 9:00am

Jury trial:

11/4/2024

at 9:00 am

The parties should be familiar with the Court's Individual Rules of Practice that are posted on the Court's website. These Rules will set forth the deadlines for trial-related filings. Any questions about these deadlines or rules should be directed to Christy Butler, Courtroom Deputy for John D. Russell.

Pursuant to LCrR 47-2, any response shall be filed within seven days of any motion's filing. Replies are not permitted without leave of Court. If leave is granted, replies shall be limited to five pages.

Only one omnibus motion in limine per party and one motion to suppress per defendant is permitted without leave of Court.

The Court shall summarily deny without prejudice any motion that does not comply with LCrR 47-4, which requires a motion state on the first page whether or not it is opposed and, if opposed, state whether concurrence was refused or explain why concurrence could not be obtained.

The parties should meet and confer in good faith to discuss any stipulations that would streamline the issues at trial. Any stipulations agreed to should be submitted by the date listed.

## Case No. 23-CR-50

If the parties anticipate a lengthy pretrial/motion hearing, they are directed to promptly contact the Courtroom Deputy and the hearing will be reset.

If the parties anticipate a plea of guilty, they are directed to promptly contact the Courtroom Deputy and a change of plea hearing (separate from the pretrial docket call) will be set. The parties must provide a petition to enter plea of guilty and any plea agreement (if applicable) to the Court and file any superseding information (if applicable) at least three business days prior to the change of plea hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from April 25, 2024, inclusive, to November 4, 2024, inclusive, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

DATED this 15th day of May 2024.

JOHN D. RUSSELL

United States District Judge